

Planning Proposal to rezone land and increase Floor Space Ratio at 135-137 Victoria Road, Punchbowl					
Proposal Title :	Planning Proposal to rezone land and increase Floor Space Ratio at 135-137 Victoria Road, Punchbowl				
Proposal Summary :	The planning proposal seeks to rezone the site from R3 Medium Density Residential to R4 High Density Residential, and increase the Floor Space Ratio (FSR) from 0.5:1 to 0.75:1.				
PP Number :	PP_2015_CANTE_005_00	Dop File No :	15/11563		
Proposal Details					
Date Planning Proposal Received :	24-Jul-2015	LGA covered :	Canterbury		
Region :	Metro(CBD)	RPA :	Canterbury City Council		
State Electorate :	CANTERBURY	Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal		
LEP Type :	Spot Rezoning		а 2		
Location Details					
Street : 135	-137 Victoria Road				
Suburb : Pur	chbowl City :	Sydney	Postcode : 2196		
Land Parcel : Lot	11 and 12 DP1177035				
DoP Planning Offic	cer Contact Details				
Contact Name :	Helen Wilkins				
Contact Number :	0292286559				
Contact Email :	helen.wilkins@planning.nsw.gov.au				
RPA Contact Details					
Contact Name :	Contact Name : Richard Hulajko				
Contact Number :	0297899368				
Contact Email :	richardhu@canterbury.nsw.gov.a	u			
DoP Project Manager Contact Details					
Contact Name :	Diane Sarkies				
Contact Number :	0292286522				
Contact Email :	diane.sarkies@planning.nsw.gov	au			
Land Release Data					
Growth Centre :		Release Area Name :	N/A		
Regional / Sub		Consistent with Strategy :			
Regional Strategy :					

Planning Proposal to rezone land and increase Floor Space Ratio at 135-137 Victoria Road, Punchbowl

	Date of Release :			
	Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :			
0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0		
0	No of Jobs Created :	0		
Yes				
Νο				
In 2013, Council exhibited the Canterbury Residential Development Strategy (RDS). During the exhibition of the RDS, Council received a submission from the landholders of 131-133 Victoria Road, Punchbowl (the adjoining site on the north of the subject site) seeking to rezone that land from R3 Medium Density Residential to R4 High Density Residential and increase the FSR from 0.5:1 to 0.75:1. The RDS subsequently recommended to retain the existing zoning and FSR for 131-133 Victoria Road. On 2 October 2014, the Council Report recommended the proposed rezoning and increased FSR for 131-133 Victoria Road to proceed and Council resolved accordingly; against the recommendation as considered in the RDS. The Department received the planning proposal on 7 March 2014 and the plan was notified on 5 March 2015.				
During exhibition of the RDS Implementation Planning Proposal, an objection was received from the landholders of the subject site, at 135-137 Victoria Road. They sought to receive comparable rezoning for their land as for 131-133 Victoria Road.				
135-137 Victoria Road, seeking to R4 High Density Residential; and the submission had not been incl would have required a re-exhibition	rezone the land from R3 Med increase the FSR from 0.5:1 t uded in the RDS Implementat on of the RDS. Council expect	lium Density Residential to o 0.75:1. Council stated that ion Planning Proposal as it t to receive a development		
residential development on the si	te and that the rezoning woul			
Adequacy Assessment				
Statement of the objectives - s55(2)(a)				
Is a statement of the objectives provided? Yes				
	-	y residential development at		
	0 Yes No No In 2013, Council exhibited the Car the exhibition of the RDS, Counci Victoria Road, Punchbowl (the ad rezone that land from R3 Medium increase the FSR from 0.5:1 to 0.7 existing zoning and FSR for 131-1 On 2 October 2014, the Council R increased FSR for 131-133 Victori against the recommendation as c planning proposal on 7 March 201 During exhibition of the RDS Impl from the landholders of the subje comparable rezoning for their lan On 24 July 2015, the Department I 135-137 Victoria Road, seeking to R4 High Density Residential; and the submission had not been incl would have required a re-exhibitid application for a residential aparts Council supports the proposal as residential development on the si Density Residential zone to a logi at jectives provided? Yes The stated objective by Counc	Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land): 0 No. of Dwellings (where relevant): 0 No of Jobs Created : Yes Yes No No In 2013, Council exhibited the Canterbury Residential Developer the exhibition of the RDS, Council received a submission from Victoria Road, Punchbowi (the adjoining site on the north of th rezone that land from R3 Medium Density Residential to R4 Hig increase the FSR from 0.5:1 to 0.75:1. The RDS subsequently re existing zoning and FSR for 131-133 Victoria Road. On 2 October 2014, the Council Report recommended the prop increased FSR for 131-133 Victoria Road to proceed and Couna against the recommendation as considered in the RDS. The De planning proposal on 7 March 2014 and the plan was notified of During exhibition of the RDS Implementation Planning Proposal from the landholders of the subject site, at 135-137 Victoria Road Con 24 July 2015, the Department received a Planning Proposal from the landholders of the subject site, at 135-137 Victoria Road Con 24 July 2015, the Department received a Planning Proposal from the landholders of the subject site, at 135-137 Victoria Road Con 24 July 2015, the Department received a Planning Proposal from the landholder of the received a Planning Proposal from the land not been included in the RDS Implementation would have required a re-exhibition of the RDS. Council expect application for a residential apartment development once the p Council supports the proposal as the amendment would facilitic residential development on the site and that the rezoning woul bensity Residential zone to a logical boundary.		

Planning Proposal to rezone land and increase Floor Space Ratio at 135-137 Victoria Road, Punchbowl

		الاستياسة وسيرت فالمسار بالمراد الماسية المتحالية المتحالية المتحالية المتحالية المتحالية المتحالية المتحا			
Explanation of provisions provided - s55(2)(b)					
Is an explanation of provis	sions provided? Yes				
Comment :	Council has provided explanation of their amendments to Canterbury Local Environmental Plan (CLEP) 2012 which would involve alterations to the Zoning and FSR Maps. No changes to the written instrument are required or proposed. Council's explanation is acceptable.				
Justification - s55 (2)(c)				
a) Has Council's strategy	been agreed to by the Dire	ector General? No			
b) S.117 directions identifi	ified by RPA : 3.1 Residential Zones				
* May need the Director G	eneral's agreement	3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport 7.1 Implementation of A Plan for Growing Sydney			
Is the Director General	's agreement required? No				
c) Consistent with Standar	rd Instrument (LEPs) Orde	r 2006 : Yes			
d) Which SEPPs have the	RPA identified?				
e) List any other matters that need to be considered :	4.1 ACID SULFATE SOILS				
	This direction applies when the site of the planning proposal contains acid sulfate soils as shown on Acid Sulfate Soils Planning Maps. 135 Victoria Road is partially identified as Class 5 Acid Sulfate Soil and in combination with proposed intensification of use on the site, the proposal is therefore subject to this direction. Department notes that the consideration of this direction is not included in the planning proposal. Considering any future development on the site would be assessed against potential acid sulfate conditions at the development application stage, the inconsistency is considered to be minor and justifiable. Notwithstanding, the Department recommends Direction 4.1 to be considered in the planning proposal prior to exhibition.				
Have inconsistencies with	items a), b) and d) being a	adequately justified? Yes			
If No, explain :	No, explain : Planning Proposal is consistent with all relevant s117 Directions and SEPPs.				
Mapping Provided - st	55(2)(d)				
Is mapping provided? Yes	3				
Comment :	The planning proposal includes maps showing the proposed: - Existing and proposed Zoning Map. - Existing and proposed FSR Map.				
Community consultation - s55(2)(e)					
Has community consultati	Has community consultation been proposed? Yes				
Comment :	Council has proposed a 28 day exhibition period. Given the nature of the planning proposal, this is considered to be appropriate.				
Additional Director General's requirements					
Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No					
If Yes, reasons :					

e

Planning Proposal to rezone land and increase Floor Space Ratio at 135-137 Victoria Road, Punchbowl

Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

If No, comment :

Proposal Assessment

Principal LEP:

Due Date :

 Comments in relation to Principal
 Canterbury LEP 2012 was published on 21 December 2012.

 LEP :

Assessment Criteria

Need for planning
proposal :The planning proposal is the only mechanism for Council to amend the Canterbury LEP
2012 to permit higher density residential development on the subject site.

Consistency with strategic planning framework :

A PLAN FOR GROWING SYDNEY

The following directions in A Plan for Growing Sydney are considered relevant to the proposal: Direction 2.1: Accelerate housing supply across Sydney; and Direction 2.3: Improve housing choice to suit different needs and lifestyles. The Department considers the proposal to be consistent with the directions as it facilitates higher density residential apartment development within an established urban area.

CANTERBURY COMMUNITY STRATEGIC PLAN (2013)

Adopted by Council in February 2014, the Strategic Plan outlines the vision for Canterbury LGA into the next decade. The Plan forecasts that Canterbury will need to accommodate a further 12,000 people within the area, which will require an additional 4,000 new dwellings in the form of medium-high density housing. The proposal is consistent with the vision of the Strategic Plan by increasing the density and dwelling capacity of the site.

CANTERBURY RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY (RDS)

In 2013, Council undertook the RDS to investigate how to achieve efficient residential development that met the housing needs of the community. The RDS did not give consideration to the changes sought for 135-137 Victoria Road and the subsequent RDS Implementation planning proposal was progressed without any changes to the planning controls for the subject site.

On 9 July 2015, Council resolved to prepare a planning proposal for the subject site, which is seeking to change the zoning and FSR for the subject site (to R4 High Density Residential and 0.75:1 FSR) to be comparable with the land to the north. This was received by the Department on 24 July 2015.

The Department's view is that the proposal will facilitate additional housing within an established urban area where there is demand for housing. However, the Department considers the proposal to be inconsistent with the recommendations in the RDS, as the subject site was not assessed for rezoning within the scope of the strategy. Should the proposal proceed to Gateway, it is recommended that Council provide justification for this inconsistency and explanation of the strategic merits of the proposal in reference to the RDS.

Environmental social economic impacts :

ENVIRONMENTAL:

The planning proposal will not result in any impact on critical habitat or threatened

Planning Proposal to rezone land and increase Floor Space Ratio at 135-137 Victoria Road, Punchbowl

	-	bitats as the site is located
The site is not subjected t	to flooding.	
SOCIAL:		
2013, Punchbowl Public S increase of residents into existing school. The RDS should involve further dis (DEC). The Department no subject site. As no develo	School had a utilisation demand ra the area will likely place a small in recommends that any anticipated coussions with the Department of l otes the high demand rate of the e opment is proposed with the propo	te of 117%. Facilitating an ncrease of demand on the l increase in teaching demand Education and Communities ducational facility closest to the osal, it is recommended Council
The Department consider	s the proposal to have generally p	ositive social impacts.
ECONOMIC:		
The subject site has good access to retail facilities. A small retail business is located opposite the site on Victoria Road and various retail businesses are located within the B5 Business Development zone on Canterbury Road, which is within walking distance from the site. The B5 Business Development zone is currently underdeveloped. However, the zone has future economic potential to cater for the locality. The potential small increase of residents generated by the proposal will likely have a minor positive economic effect on existing retail businesses.		
SS		
Routine	Community Consultation Period :	28 Days
9 months	Delegation :	RPA
Transport for NSW		
	within a fully urbanised at The site is not subjected to SOCIAL: The planning proposal fac proposal is likely to assis The site is within walking 2013, Punchbowl Public S increase of residents into existing school. The RDS should involve further dis (DEC). The Department not subject site. As no develor consult with DEC during to The Department consider ECONOMIC: The subject site has good opposite the site on Victor Business Development zo the site. The B5 Businesss zone has future economic residents generated by th existing retail businesses The Department's view is contribute towards econo residential population.	The planning proposal facilitates additional housing near a proposal is likely to assist in maximising use of the existing.The site is within walking distance to Punchbowl Public School had a utilisation demand ration increase of residents into the area will likely place a small is existing school. The RDS recommends that any anticipated should involve further discussions with the Department of the subject site. As no development is proposed with the proposed on sult with DEC during the Development Application stage.The Department considers the proposal to have generally proposite the site on Victoria Road and various retail busines Business Development zone on Canterbury Road, which is the site. The B5 Business Development zone is currently up zone has future economic potential to cater for the locality residents generated by the proposal will likely have a minor existing retail businesses.The Department's view is that, though likely to be minor, the contribute towards economic growth within the local area of residential population.SRoutineCommunity Consultation Period :9 months

No

Yes

Is Public Hearing by the PAC required?

Identify any additional studies, if required.

(2)(a) Should the matter proceed ?

Resubmission - s56(2)(b) : No

If no, provide reasons :

If Yes, reasons :

Planning Proposal to rezone land and increase Floor Space Ratio at 135-137 Victoria Road, Punchbowl					
If Other, provide reasons	:				
Identify any internal cons No internal consultation Is the provision and fundi If Yes, reasons :		this plan? No			
Documents					
Document File Name		DocumentType Name	Is Public		
Planning Proposal 135-	37 Victoria Rd July 2015.pdf	Proposal	Yes		
Planning Team Recomm	nendation				
Preparation of the planni	ng proposal supported at this stage :	Recommended with Conditions			
S.117 directions:	3.1 Residential Zones 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport 7.1 Implementation of A Plan for Growing Sydney				
Additional Information :	It is recommended that the planning proposal proceed subject to the following conditions:				
	exhibition: • Further justification for the inco Residential Development Strateg	lanning proposal is to be amended to onsistency of the proposal with the Ca ly; and nst Direction 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils.			
	2. Consultation is required with the following public authorities: • Transport for NSW				
	Each public authority is to be provided with a copy of the planning proposal and any relevant supporting material, and given at least 21 days to comment on the proposal.				
3. The planning proposal is to be publicly exhibited for 28 days.					
	4. A public hearing is not required to be held into the matter.				
	5. The timeframe for completing week following the date of the G	the Local Environmental Plan is to be ateway determination.	9 months from the		
Supporting Reasons :	The planning proposal is supported with conditions because it will intensify the site's capacity to accommodate higher density residential apartment development in an established suburb.				
Signature:	Diane Sarkies				
Printed Name:	Diane Sarkies	Date: <u>14/8/15</u>			

×